

## Standards of Practice: Quality Assurance Guidelines for Percutaneous Treatments of Intervertebral Discs

Alexis D. Kelekis · Dimitris K. Filippiadis ·  
Jean-Baptiste Martin · Elias Broutzos

Received: 25 February 2010 / Accepted: 1 April 2010 / Published online: 30 July 2010  
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC and the Cardiovascular and Interventional Radiological Society of Europe (CIRSE) 2010

**Abstract** Percutaneous treatments are used in the therapy of small- to medium-sized hernias of intervertebral discs to reduce the intradiscal pressure in the nucleus and theoretically create space for the herniated fragment to implode inward, thus reducing pain and improving mobility and quality of life. These techniques involve the percutaneous removal of the nucleus pulposus by using a variety of chemical, thermal, or mechanical techniques and consist of removal of all or part of nucleus pulposus to induce more rapid healing of the abnormal lumbar disc. These guidelines are written to be used in quality improvement programs for assessing fluoroscopy- and/or computed tomography-guided percutaneous intervertebral disc ablative techniques.

**Keywords** Skeletal interventions · Pain management · Musculoskeletal · Spine/nervous system · Pain · Spinal disc herniation

### Introduction

Herniation of intervertebral disc is an important and common cause of low back pain. It affects mobility, physical function, and quality of life, and it costs society much [1, 2]. It is estimated that 70–90% of the normal population will experience at least one episode of sciatica or lumbago during their lifetime [3, 4]. Intervertebral disc and discogenic pain have been identified as causative agents in 26–39% of patients with sciatica or lumbago [3–8]. The long-term outcomes, complications, and occasionally suboptimal results that accompany open disc surgery in herniated discs have led to the development of other treatment techniques that avoid an open surgery through the spinal canal.

Percutaneous treatments are used in the therapy of small- to medium-sized hernias of intervertebral discs to reduce the intradiscal pressure in the nucleus and theoretically to create space for the herniated fragment to implode inward, thus reducing pain and improving mobility and quality of life [9]. These techniques involve the percutaneous removal of the nucleus pulposus by using a variety of chemical, thermal, or mechanical techniques [1, 9–13]. They are based on the study of Hijikata et al. in 1975 concerning the role of intradiscal pressure, which stated, “Reduction of intradiscal pressure reduced the irritation of the nerve root and the pain receptors in the annulus and peridiscal area” [1]. It consists of removal of all or part of nucleus pulposus to induce more rapid healing of the abnormal lumbar disc.

These guidelines were written to be used in quality improvement programs for assessing fluoroscopy- and/or computed tomography (CT)-guided percutaneous intervertebral disc ablative techniques.

---

A. D. Kelekis (✉) · D. K. Filippiadis · E. Broutzos  
2nd Radiology Department, Attikon University Hospital,  
Rimini 1, 12462 Haidari, Athens, Greece  
e-mail: akelekis@med.uoa.gr

D. K. Filippiadis  
e-mail: dfilippiadis@yahoo.gr

E. Broutzos  
e-mail: ebrountz@med.uoa.gr

J.-B. Martin  
Service d’Imagerie Medicale, Geneva University Hospital,  
24 Micheli-du-Crest, 1211 Geneva, Switzerland  
e-mail: jbmartin@cird.ch

## Definition

Percutaneous ablative techniques of intervertebral discs are image-guided therapeutic techniques for intervertebral disc hernia, which use a trocar to puncture the outer annulus of the disc. Through this trocar, a variety of chemical, thermal, or mechanical ablative devices may be placed inside the nucleus pulposus, assuring its partial removal. The nuclear material removal internally decompresses the disc with the least disruption of surrounding tissues.

- *Automated percutaneous lumbar discectomy.* A pneumatically driven, suction-cutting probe within a 2.8-mm outer diameter cannula removes approximately 1–3 g of disc material anterior to the herniation.
- *Intradiscal electrothermal therapy (IDET).* A flexible thermal resistive coil (electrode or catheter) coagulates the disc tissue with radiant heat (electrothermal energy). Although IDET is used for treatment of the annulus and is not a treatment of the nucleus per se, it is included here as an ablative technique for small contained hernias with ruptures of the annulus. Percutaneous intradiscal radiofrequency therapy may be considered an IDET variant where an electrode or catheter applies alternating radiofrequency current to the nucleus pulposus.
- *Percutaneous laser decompression.* Laser energy vaporizes a small volume of nucleus pulposus, thus reducing the intradiscal pressure.
- *Nucleoplasty.* A non-heat-driven process where bipolar radiofrequency energy causes molecular dissociation and dissolves nuclear material creating a series of intradiscal channels.
- *Percutaneous disc decompression.* Nuclear material extraction is achieved with a mechanical device with high rotations per minute and with spiral tips.
- *Ozone therapy.* Ozone's chemical properties and the reaction of hydroxyl radical with carbohydrates and amino acids leads to breakdown of nucleus pulposus, with rapid disappearance of herniated material.
- *DiscoGel.* A chemonucleolytic agent (gelified ethanol) that causes dehydration of nucleus pulposus, thus resulting in retraction of intervertebral disc herniation.

## Indications

- Small- to medium-sized contained intervertebral disc herniation confirmed by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) [12–15].
- Back pain of discogenic origin, sciatica, or crural pain that limit activity for at least 6 weeks (leg pain should be of greater intensity than back pain) [12–14].

- Specific dermatomal pain distribution [16].
- Neurologic findings referring to a single nerve root involvement (positive Lasègue sign; decreased tendon reflex, sensation, motor responses) [13].
- No significant improvement after conservative therapy (6 weeks of bed rest, analgesics, anti-inflammatory drugs, muscle relaxants, physiotherapy) [12, 13]; significant improvement is defined as any pain reduction and mobility improvement of  $\geq 4$  units on the visual analog scale [17].
- Reproduction of patient's usual pain in the cases in which provocative discography is performed before any percutaneous intervertebral disc ablative technique [12, 14, 15].

## Contraindications

### Absolute

- Sequestered (free) disc fragment [14].
- Segmental instability (spondylolisthesis) [14, 17].
- Stenosis of neural foramen or spinal canal [14, 18].
- Asymptomatic intervertebral disc bulging discovered as incidental finding in CT scan or MRI [14].
- Untreated, ongoing active infection and/or discitis [12].
- Pregnancy (fetal radiation exposure must be avoided) [15].

### Relative

- Hemorrhagic diathesis (should be corrected before the operation) [7, 12].
- Anticoagulant therapy (should be interrupted before the operation) [19].
- Severe degenerative disc disease with more than two-thirds of disc height decrease [16, 20].
- Medical record of intervertebral disc operation at the same level [17].
- Primary or metastatic malignancy.

## Patient Selection

Characteristics for the ideal candidate include a single-level, symptomatic, contained disc herniation with leg pain greater than back pain. These candidates do not belong in the most severe surgical disc disease spectrum, and they have a good chance of achieving significant pain reduction with conservative therapy. Therefore, a 4- to 6-week course of conservative treatment should be the first step [14].

Preoperative imaging planning begins with plain films of the spinal column, which are promptly available and inexpensive [20]. These are obtained to provide information about spinal bony elements and possible vertebral misalignment, thus excluding other potential sites and causes of pain origin, including facet arthropathy, spinal canal stenosis, and fracture [21]. MRI with T1- and T2-weighting sequences should be systematically performed before any percutaneous intervertebral disc decompression technique. CT may be performed for a more thorough bone evaluation [20].

## Technique

Percutaneous ablative techniques of intervertebral discs are performed under fluoroscopy, CT, or dual (CT and fluoro) guidance with the patient in the prone (when thoracic or lumbar spine is concerned) or in the supine (when cervical spine is concerned) position. Although there are reports of MRI guidance concerning infiltrations of facet joints and selective neural root blocks, this modality is rarely used for the guidance of percutaneous intervertebral disc treatments.

Appropriate preoperative preparation, draping, and strict sterilization of the area of interest are the most important points of these techniques. An iodine solution (the use of extra solution containing alcohol varies among different centers) is used for rigorous and extensive skin disinfection, and all the instruments and materials used (forceps, sterile gauze swabs) should be included in a sterile set. Preprocedural antibiotic therapy administration at least 1 h before the procedure is optional. Some authors prefer intradiscal antibiotic treatment [22].

Trocar positioning is performed under local anesthesia (skin and subcutaneous tissues) to avoid an accidental puncture of the nerve root without patient reaction. The nerve root itself should never be anesthetized.

### Trocar Positioning at the Cervical Spine

With the patient in a supine position, under fluoroscopy, the selected disc is recognized and aligned, a projection to the skin is marked, and an antero-lateral approach is performed [1]. Under continuous fluoroscopic control and sublaxation of the larynx, advancement of the trocar is performed between larynx and jugular-carotid vessels, until the trocar tip reaches the anterior longitudinal ligament. The right side is usually preferred because the esophagus is located on the left side.

### Trocar Positioning at Thoracic Spine

A lateral oblique projection (35°–40° rotation of the tube) is used for disc space definition at the thoracic level [1, 16].

The target point is situated between the superior articulation of the lower vertebral body on the lateral side and the head of the ipsilateral rib on the medial side. Tube rotation greater than 35°–40° may result in costovertebral joint presence that will block the entry point.

### Trocar Positioning at Lumbar Spine

Concerning the lumbar spine, intervertebral discs of interest should be aligned in anteroposterior projection [1]. Rotating the tube at 45° will send the spinous process toward the contralateral facet joint, thus producing the “Scotty dog” projection (taking care to preserve the opening of the intervertebral disc’s anterior part). Trocar advancement is performed under fluoroscopic control at the Scotty dog projection. Annulus fibrosus puncture can be both felt and seen under fluoroscopy. A curved trocar can be used when necessary for the L5–S1 intervertebral disc [13].

Dual guidance (rotating fluoroscope and CT) provides three-dimensional imaging with exact differentiation of intervertebral disc from the surrounding structures [13].

In general, under every approach and guidance, and for any of the ablative/decompressive devices used (except IDET), the inserted trocar must be inside the nucleus pulposus (projecting in posteroanterior view near the midline) parallel to and at midway between the two end plates. However, the trocar’s final position depends on the ablative/decompressive device used [13]. Once the trocar is found in the desired position, any of the above-mentioned devices may be inserted through it. Ablation (either thermal or mechanical) reduces the intervertebral disc volume with no surrounding spinal structures damage. IDET is placed circumferentially in the annulus pulposus, remaining in the midway between the two end plates [12].

### Postprocedure Care

In the absence of complications, hospitalization is not necessary. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and muscle relaxants could be prescribed, but this is optional, and practice differs at each center. A follow-up phone call is performed the morning after disc decompression, and the patient is clinically examined 1 week later [15, 23].

Postprocedure restrictions should include rest during the first few days after the procedure, and prolonged sitting positions should be avoided. Heavy lifting, twisting, forward bending, and strenuous body activity are not permitted during the first postoperative period. One week after the procedure, the patient may perform light housework. During the second week, walking and progressive exercise may begin. Weight-lifting is allowed after 3 months [12].

**Table 1** Outcome measures of percutaneous intervertebral disc therapies

Method	Success rate	Complication rate
Automated percutaneous lumbar discectomy	75% [32, 33]	Technical failure rate 2.6% Mild muscle spasm 9% Functional lower limb paresis 0.4% [34]
Percutaneous laser decompression	63–89% [13, 35–37]	Intraoperative 1.1% Postoperative 1.5% [38, 39] General complication rate 0.5–1% [40]
Intradiscal electrothermal therapy	64–75% [12, 28, 41–43]	Transient and mild adverse events (radicular pain, paresthesia numbness) 0–15% [44] Serious adverse events (cerebrospinal fluid leak, cauda equine syndrome, vertebral osteonecrosis) <0.5% [44] General complication rate from meta-analysis 0.8% [28]
DiscoGel	91.4% [45]	<0.5% [45]
Intervertebral disc nucleoplasty	79% [17]	<0.5% [44]
Ozone therapy	70–85% [46]	<0.5% [47–49]
Percutaneous disc decompression	60–85% [50, 51]	0.5% [20]

## Complications

Intraoperative complications are related to the technique itself as well as the instrumentation (e.g., trocar or catheter breakage, nerve root injury). Postoperative complications include bleeding, infection, and other general complications [19]. Discitis is the most common complication of percutaneous disc decompression techniques, occurring in up to 0.24% per patient and 0.091% per disc of patients followed in sequence of appearance by epidural abscess [14, 23–28]. Less frequently encountered complications of the technique include reflex sympathetic dystrophy, puncture of thecal sac with accompanying headache, hemorrhage and neurologic injury, allergic reactions to any of the agents used during the procedure, pneumothorax (in case of thoracic intervertebral disc decompression), and vasovagal reactions (in case of cervical intervertebral disc decompression) [13, 23–25, 29]. In addition, material failure resulting from open surgery has been described [30]. Finally, there is a case of cauda equine syndrome reported by Onik et al. due to improperly placed nucleotome, but this was related to an interlaminar approach [31] (Table 1).

## Qualification and Responsibilities of Personnel

Percutaneous ablative techniques of intervertebral discs should be performed by an experienced interventional radiologist adequately trained in the procedures. The preprocedure setup, the postprocedure care, and the patient's follow-up are all included in the operator's general responsibilities. Proper patient selection, strict sterility

maintained during the procedure, adequate follow-up, and patient's compliance with the restrictions placed on him will result in higher success rates and lower complication rates.

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## References

- Kelekis AD, Somon T, Yilmaz H et al (2005) Interventional spine procedures. *Eur J Radiol* 55:362–383
- Shah RV, Everett CE, McKenzie-Brown AM, Seghal N (2005) Discography as a diagnostic test for spinal pain: a systematic and narrative review. *Pain Physician* 8:187–209
- Andersson GBJ (1999) Epidemiological features of chronic low-back pain. *Lancet* 354:581–585
- Kennedy M (1999) IDET: a new approach to treating lower back pain. *WMJ* 98:18–20
- Anderson SR, Flanagan B (2000) Discography. *Curr Rev Pain* 4:345–352
- Coppes MH, Marani E, Thormeier RTWM, Groen GJ (1997) Innervation of “painful” lumbar discs. *Spine* 22:2342–2350
- Schwarzer AC, April CN, Derby R (1995) The prevalence and clinical feature of internal disc disruption of patients with chronic low back pain. *Spine* 20:1878–1883
- Manchikanti L, Singh V, Pampati V (2001) Evaluation of the relative contributions of various structures in chronic low back pain. *Pain Physician* 4:308–316
- Singh V, Derby R (2006) Percutaneous lumbar disc decompression. *Pain Physician* 9:139–146
- Choy DSJ, Ascher PW, Saddekni S (1992) Percutaneous laser disc decompressions: a new therapeutic modality. *Spine* 17:949–956
- Shields CB (1986) In defence of chemonucleolysis. *Clin Neurosurg* 33:397–405

12. Eckel TS (2002) Intradiscal electrothermal therapy. In: Williams AL, Murtagh FR (eds) Handbook of diagnostic and therapeutic spine procedures. CV Mosby, St Louis, pp 229–244
13. Gangi A, Dietemann JL, Ide C et al (1996) Percutaneous laser disc decompression under CT and fluoroscopic guidance: indications, technique and clinical experience. *Radiographics* 16:89–96
14. Gibby W (2002) Automated percutaneous discectomy. In: Williams AL, Murtagh FR (eds) Handbook of diagnostic and therapeutic spine procedures. CV Mosby, St Louis, pp 203–225
15. Fenton DS, Czervionke LF (2003) Intradiscal electrothermal therapy. In: Fenton DS, Czervionke LF (eds) Image guided spine intervention. WB Saunders, Philadelphia, pp 257–285
16. Onik G, Mooney V, Maroon JC et al (1990) Automated percutaneous discectomy: a prospective multi-institutional study. *Neurosurgery* 26:228–233
17. Masala S, Massari F, Fabiano S et al (2007) Nucleoplasty in the treatment of lumbar diskogenic back pain: one year follow-up. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 30:426–432
18. Ditsworth DA (1998) Endoscopic transforaminal lumbar discectomy and reconfiguration: a posterolateral approach into the spinal canal. *Surg Neurol* 49:588–598
19. Boswell MV, Trescot AM, Datta S et al (2007) Interventional techniques: evidence-based practical guidelines in the management of chronic spinal pain. *Pain Physician* 10:7–111
20. Galluci M, Limbucci N, Paonessa A, Splendiani A (2007) Degenerative disease of the spine. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* 17:87–103
21. Almen A, Tingberg A, Besjakov J et al (2004) The use of reference image criteria in X-ray diagnostics: an application for the optimisation of lumbar spine radiographs. *Eur Radiol* 14:1651–1657
22. Bogner EA (2009) Past, present and future of therapeutic lumbar spine interventional procedures. *Radiol Clin North Am* 47:411–419
23. Fenton DS, Czervionke LF (2002) Discography. In: Williams AL, Murtagh FR (eds) Handbook of diagnostic and therapeutic spine procedures. CV Mosby, St Louis, pp 167–199
24. Fraser RD, Osti OL, Vernon-Roberts B (1987) Discitis after discography. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 69:31
25. Zeidman SM, Thompson K, Ducker TB (1995) Complications of cervical discography: analysis of 4400 diagnostic disc injections. *Neurosurgery* 37:414–417
26. Simopoulos TT, Kraemer JJ, Glazer P, Bajwa ZH (2008) Vertebral osteomyelitis: a potentially catastrophic outcome after lumbar epidural steroid injection. *Pain Physician* 11:693–697
27. Smuck M, Benny B, Han A, Levin J (2007) Epidural fibrosis following percutaneous disc decompression with coblation technology. *Pain Physician* 10:691–696
28. Appleby D, Andersson G, Totta M (2006) Metaanalysis of the efficacy and safety of intradiscal electrothermal therapy (IDET). *Pain Med* 4:308–316
29. Connor PM, Darven BV (1993) Cervical discography complications and clinical efficacy. *Spine* 18:2035–2038
30. Domsky R, Goldberg ME, Hirsh RA et al (2006) Critical failure of a percutaneous discectomy probe requiring surgical removal during disc decompression. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 31:177–179
31. Onik G, Maroon JC, Jackson R (1992) Cauda equina syndrome secondary to an improperly placed nucleotome probe. *Neurosurgery* 30:412–414
32. Gill K (1993) Percutaneous lumbar discectomy. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 1:33–40
33. Kamlin P, Brager MD (1987) Percutaneous posterolateral discectomy: anatomy and mechanism. *Clin Orthop* 223:145–154
34. Dullerud R, Amundsen T, Lie H et al (1995) Clinical results after percutaneous automated lumbar nucleotomy. A follow-up study. *Acta Radiol* 36:418–424
35. Liebler WA (1995) Percutaneous laser disc nucleotomy. *Clin Orthop* 310:58–66
36. Gangi A, Guth S, Dietemann JL, Roy C (2001) Interventional musculoskeletal procedures. *Radiographics* 21:e1
37. Bryce DA, Nelson J, Glurich I, Berq RL (2005) Intradiscal electrothermal annuloplasty therapy: a case series study leading to new considerations. *WMJ* 104:39–46
38. Mayer HM, Brock M, Stern E (1989) Percutaneous endoscopic laser discectomy: experimental results. In: Mayer HM, Brock M (eds) Percutaneous lumbar discectomy. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg
39. Epstein NE (1994) Nerve root complications of percutaneous laser-assisted discectomy performed at outside institutions: a technical note. *J Spinal Disord* 7:510–512
40. Hellinger J (2004) Complications of non-endoscopic percutaneous laser disc decompression and nucleotomy with the neodymium: YAG laser 1064 nm. *Photomed Laser Surg* 22:418–422
41. Bryce DA, Nelson J, Glurich I, Berq RL (2005) Intradiscal electrothermal annuloplasty therapy: a case series study leading to new considerations. *WMJ* 104:39–46
42. Gerszten PC, Welch WC, McGrath PM, Willis SL (2002) A prospective outcome study of patients undergoing intradiscal electrotherapy (IDET) for chronic low back pain. *Pain Physician* 5:360–364
43. Derby R, Eek B, Chen Y et al (2000) Intradiscal electrothermal annuloplasty (IDET): a novel approach for treating chronic discogenic back pain. *Neuromodulation* 3:82–88
44. Chou R, Atlas S, Stanos S, Rosenquist RW (2009) Non surgical interventional therapies for low back pain. A review of the evidence for an American Pain Society clinical practice guideline. *Spine* 34:1078–1093
45. Theron J, Guimaraens L, Casasco A et al (2007) Percutaneous treatment of lumbar intervertebral disk hernias with radiopaque gelified ethanol: a preliminary study. *J Spinal Disord Tech* 20:526–532
46. Andreula CF, Simonetti L, de Santis F et al (2003) Minimally invasive oxygen–ozone therapy for lumbar disc herniation. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 24:996–1000
47. Leonardi M (2007) Letter to the editor. Re: Gazerri R, Galarza M, Neroni M et al: Fulminating septicemia secondary to oxygen–ozone therapy for lumbar disc herniation: case report. *Spine* 32:E121–E123
48. Bo W, Lonqyi C, Jian T et al (2009) A pyogenic discitis at C3–C4 with associated ventral epidural abscess involving C1–C4 after intradiscal oxygen–ozone chemonucleolysis: a case report. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 34:E298–E304
49. Ginanneschi F, Cervelli C, Milani P, Rossi A (2006) Ventral and dorsal root injury after oxygen–ozone therapy for lumbar disc herniation. *Surg Neurol* 66:619–620
50. Alò KM, Wright RE, Sutcliffe J, Brandt SA (2005) Percutaneous lumbar discectomy: one-year follow-up in an initial cohort of fifty consecutive patients with chronic radicular pain. *Pain Pract* 5:116–124
51. Slipman CW, Bender FJ III, Menkin S et al (2006) PR\_096: percutaneous lumbar disk decompression using the Dekompessor: a pilot study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 87:e21